



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

MARCO ANTONIO CUESTA,

Plaintiff,

-against-

VIPRI CORPORATION d/b/a CACIO E VINO
and GIUSTO PRIOLA,

Defendant.

24-CV-7101 (RA) (BCM)

ORDER

BARBARA MOSES, United States Magistrate Judge.

This action has been referred to Magistrate Judge Barbara Moses for general pretrial management, including scheduling, discovery, non-dispositive pretrial motions, and settlement, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A). All pretrial motions and applications, including those related to scheduling and discovery (but excluding motions to dismiss or for judgment on the pleadings, for injunctive relief, for summary judgment, or for class certification under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23) must be made to Judge Moses and in compliance with this Court's Individual Practices in Civil Cases, available on the Court's website at <https://nysd.uscourts.gov/hon-barbara-moses>.

Mediation

On November 12, 2024, the Honorable Ronnie Abrams, United States District Judge, entered a mediation referral order and directed that the mediation be scheduled within 60 days. (Dkt. 17.) It is hereby **ORDERED** that, in the event the parties do not reach a settlement, counsel must promptly meet and confer in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f), in preparation for an initial case management conference with this Court.

Rule 26(f) Statement

It is further **ORDERED** that within 14 days after their last mediation session, the parties shall file a Rule 26(f) Statement, via ECF, signed by counsel for all parties. The Statement, which will constitute the written report required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f)(2), shall contain the following information:

1. The date or dates the parties propose for an initial case management conference, and the names of the individual attorneys who will attend, together with their law firms, addresses, and telephone numbers, and the party or parties represented. The Court expects each party's principal trial attorney to attend the conference.
2. A concise statement of the nature of the case and the issues as they appear on the date of the Statement, including any issues as to jurisdiction or venue and any anticipated motions pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b) or (c).
3. A proposed deadline for joining additional parties, amending the pleadings, or moving for leave to do so.
4. A proposed discovery schedule including:
 - a. A date for exchanging the automatic disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1)(A), or the date on which such disclosures were accomplished;
 - b. Dates for the *service* of initial document production requests and interrogatories (limited in accordance with Local Civil Rule 33.3);
 - c. A date by which all fact depositions will be completed, including the names (if known) or descriptions of persons expected to be deposed;
 - d. A date for the close of all fact discovery;
 - e. Dates by which each party's expert report(s) or other expert disclosure, and any responding reports, will be supplied to the adversary;
 - f. A date for the close of all discovery, including expert depositions.
5. Any proposed limitations to be placed on discovery, including protective or confidentiality orders. The parties may (but are not required to) use the Model Protective Order found on the Court's website at <https://nysd.uscourts.gov/hon-barbara-moses>.
6. Any anticipated discovery issues that may warrant early attention from the Court (including ESI protocols, litigation holds, and other issues relating to the preservation, retrieval and/or production of electronically stored information).

7. A date, approximately 30 days prior to the close of fact discovery, for a status conference with the Court.
8. When the case should be (a) referred to mediation or (b) scheduled for a settlement conference with the Court.
9. A date for the filing of pre-motion conference requests regarding summary judgment.
10. The anticipated length of trial and whether a jury has been requested.
11. Whether the parties wish to consent to trial before the designated magistrate judge.

To the extent the parties are in disagreement concerning any portion of the Pre-Conference Statement, they may submit separate proposals as to such portion, *without argument*.

Upon receipt of the Rule 26(f) Statement, the Court will schedule the initial case management conference.

Dated: New York, New York
November 13, 2024

SO ORDERED.



BARBARA MOSES
United States Magistrate Judge